





# Instrument intercomparison in the stray radiation field around the CERN proton synchrotron

E. Aza, M. Caresana, C. Cassell, V. Colombo, S. Damjanovic, S. Gilardoni, <u>G.P. Manessi</u>, M. Pangallo, D. Perrin, M. Silari



3<sup>rd</sup> June 2013, Aix-en-Provence 12<sup>th</sup> NEUtron and ion DOSimetry symposium





## THE PS COMPLEX







# THE MEASUREMENT CAMPAIGN



Aim of the measurements: evaluate the difference in the responses of 6 active neutron detectors in different stray field conditions.

Focus: difference in the responses due to dead time losses.



Several measurements carried out in 4 the positions Systematic intercomparison at the access tunnel of SS16



## THE INTERCOMPARISON





Beam extracted with Continuous Transfer (CT) technique

1% lost beam in the area: losses due to particles scattered by the electrostatic septum used to slice the beam

Beam extracted in 5 turns: each turn lasts 2.2 µs => length of the losses: **11 µs** 

6 detectors installed and rotated in reference positions at the beginning of the access tunnel



# THE DETECTORS (I)



3 classes of instruments employed:

#### I) Conventional and extended range rem counters

## Used for RP routine measurements

## II) Ionization chambers

*Employed in* **RAMSES** (CERN <u>RA</u>diation <u>M</u>onitoring <u>System for the Environment and Safety</u>) III) Prototype extended range rem counter (**LUPIN**)



**Thermo BIOREM (BF<sub>3</sub>)** Conventional rem counter Good sensitivity up to 20 MeV







**Thermo Wendi-2 (<sup>3</sup>He)** Extended range rem counter Good sensitivity up to 5 GeV

CERN LINUS (<sup>3</sup>He)

The original extended range rem counter







#### Pressurized (20 atm) Centronic ionization chambers (Ar and H) Good sensitivity up to 20 MeV



LUPIN prototype (BF<sub>3</sub>)

Extended range rem counter Specifically conceived to work in pulsed neutron fields



It uses the integration of the total electric charge as a measure of the number of neutron interactions in the gas



## THE STRAY FIELD



Example of signal acquired with the LUPIN in one of the reference positions





# THE NEUTRON SPECTRUM



#### Expected neutron spectrum at the beginning of the access tunnel (FLUKA simulations)



Expected neutron H\*(10) rate = 95% of the total H\*(10) rate

Max neutron energy < 20 MeV => Same response for conventional and extended range RC



## THE COMPARISON PROCESS





Normalization of the H\*(10) to: I) Integrated proton fluence in the PS II) H\*(10) integrated by a fixed monitoring station installed in the area Detectors turned in the 6 reference positions (high H\*(10) rate gradient in the area)

Comparison of the integrated H\*(10) (30 minutes) in each positions





## THE RESULTS





G.P. Manessi – Instrument intercomparison in the stray radiation field around the CERN PS 9/11







#### 1) Ionization chambers & LUPIN (no dead time)

Results compatible within the range of uncertainty and with FLUKA simulations.

## 2) BIOREM (dead time $\approx 1 \ \mu s$ )

30% underestimation if compared to the average results of group 1 and FLUKA

## 1) Wendi-2 & LINUS (dead time $\approx$ 2 $\mu$ s)

60% underestimation if compared to the average results of group 1 and FLUKA

From the dead time correction formula: 
$$n = \frac{m}{1 - m\tau} - \begin{cases} n = \text{expected counting rate} \approx 8.3 \cdot 10^5 \, \text{s}^{-1} \text{ (from LUPIN signal)} \\ m = \text{measured counting rate} \\ \tau = \text{dead time} \approx 1 \text{ or } 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \, \text{s} \end{cases}$$

Expected underestimation due to the dead time effects: 45% for BIOREM; 65% for Wendi-2 & LINUS



## CONCLUSIONS



## LUPIN and IONIZATION CHAMBERS (H/Ar)

#### No underestimation of the expected H\*(10)

Can be used in every working conditions, even with strongly pulsed fields. Employed at CERN in all critical positions (pulsed, high energy losses).

**LUPIN** has the advantage of being portable (rem counter) and (unlike the ionization chambers) <u>does not need</u> the setting of a different calibration factor in each measuring position as a function of the neutron spectrum.

## CONVENTIONAL REM COUNTERS (BIOREM, LINUS, Wendi-2)

#### Huge underestimations due to dead time

Real underestimation slightly lower than what calculated with the DT correction formula (stray field partly scattered)

Used at CERN for environmental measurements or outside accelerator shieldings where radiation field is not strongly pulsed.







East hall

## THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Instrument intercomparison in the stray radiation field around the CERN proton synchrotron

E. Aza, M. Caresana, C. Cassell, V. Colombo, S. Damjanovic, S. Gilardoni, <u>G.P. Manessi</u>, M. Pangallo, D. Perrin, M. Silari







# DEAD TIME CALCULATIONS



To derive the dead time for each detector the "two-source method" **[Knoll]** was applied to the data acquired in a dedicated experiment.

Method based on observing the counting rate from two sources individually and in combination. Applied by taking three different values of expected and measured counting rates, i.e.  $EXP_1$ ,  $EXP_2$ ,  $EXP_{12}$  and  $MEAS_1$ ,  $MEAS_2$ ,  $MEAS_{12}$ , where  $EXP_{12} = EXP_1 + EXP_2$ , and by evaluating them individually and in combination.

The dead time could be calculated from the discrepancy between the measured rate  $MEAS_{12}$  and the sum of  $MEAS_1 + MEAS_2$ .

#### (1.02 $\pm$ 0.10) $\mu$ s for the BIOREM

(very close to the TTL pulse width declared by the manufacturer, 1.2 µs [Thermo])

(1.74  $\pm$  0.17)  $\mu$ s for the Wendi-2



G.P. Manessi – Instrument intercomparison in the stray radiation field around the CERN PS Backup 2



G.P. Manessi – Instrument intercomparison in the stray radiation field around the CERN PS Backup 3